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Functional outcome of Destandau technique of endoscopic discectomy for symptomatic lumbar disc herniation
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A B S T R A C T

Background: Endoscopic spine techniques impart minimum approach related disruption of normal anatomy and provide excellent visualisation and magnification of tissues. The purpose of the study is to evaluate the functional outcome and possible complications of Destandau technique of posterior lumbar discectomy.

Materials and Methods: One hundred and five patients were operated for lumbar disc herniation by using Destandau technique of lumbar discectomy from July 2012 to January 2019. Minimum follow-up was 12 months and maximum was 7 years. Results were evaluated by Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) scores and MacNab’s criteria.

Results: Based on MacNab’s criteria, 87 patients (82.85%) had excellent results, 6 patients had good and 12 patients had fair results. Average VAS score for leg pain was improved from 8.3 (range 7-10; SD 1.10) to 0.8 (range 0-3; SD 0.86) and average ODI score from 69.65 (range 60-78; SD 5.5) to 4.51 (range 0-12) at one year follow-up. Eighty eight percent patients were able to join sedentary work at 3 weeks, with restrictions to avoid heavy manual work till 6 weeks. The complication rate was 18% which included dural tears (3.8%), recurrent disc (3.8%), discitis (1.9%), transient neuralgia (7.61%) and superficial infection (0.9%).

Conclusion: Destandau technique of endoscopic lumbar discectomy is a safe, effective, minimally invasive procedure and reduces the approach related morbidity. It also allows early postoperative rehabilitation and faster return to work. Proper training and experience is vital to overcome the steep learning curve.

© 2020 Published by Innovative Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Surgery for lumbar disc herniation can be classified into two broad categories- open discectomy versus minimally invasive surgery. The concept of minimally invasive surgery for lumbar disc herniation is to address the disc pathology without producing iatrogenic access-related morbidity. There are again two different modalities for this minimal access lumbar disc surgery – Microscopic and Endoscopic. Various endoscopic lumbar discectomy techniques impart minimal approach related disruption of non-pathological spinal anatomy, while at the same time maximising the surgical visualisation of the pathological tissue.1,2 The obvious advantages of endoscopic surgery are small incision, minimum blood loss, less tissue trauma, improved visibility, decreased need for postoperative analgesics and earlier return to activities and work.3–5

Different endoscopic discectomy techniques6–9 have been developed over last four decades, approaching the disc herniation from posterior, posterolateral (i.e. transforaminal) or even lateral portals. In early 1990, Sir Jean Destandau from Bourdeaux, France developed a posterior interlaminar endoscopic discectomy technique with unique instruments, for surgical management of lumbar disc herniation.9–11

The purpose of our study is to evaluate the functional outcome and possible complications of Destandau
2. Materials and Methods

In this prospective study since 2012, one hundred and five patients having prolapsed lumbar intervertebral disc with radiculopathy, who met the following inclusion and exclusion criteria and followed up for minimum one year were included. They were operated with endoscopic lumbar discectomy using Destandau technique at two different hospitals.

2.1. Inclusion criteria were as follows: Patients having

1. Unilateral central or paracentral intracanalicular disc herniation confirmed by MRI.
2. Lower limb radicular symptoms in corresponding dermatomal distribution, with failed conservative treatment for at least 6 weeks.
3. Positive nerve root tension signs- straight leg raising (SLR) test or femoral stretch test
4. Progressive neurological deficit in some patients with positive clinico-radiological correlation

2.2. Exclusion criteria were as follows

1. Demonstrable instability, spondylolysis or lysis
2. Cauda equine syndrome
3. Negative clinical and radiological correlation
4. Extraforaminal disc herniation
5. Previous lumbar spine surgery

2.3. Preoperative radiological evaluation

All patients were evaluated with anteroposterior, dynamic standing lateral flexion-extension radiographs of lumbosacral spine and MRI lumbosacral spine with screening of whole spine.

2.4. Surgical procedure

We used Destandau endospine system, which consists of outer endospine tube, trocar and working insert. The working insert has four ports. One 4mm port is for 0 degree endoscope, second 4mm port is for suction cannula, third 8mm working port which is at 12 degree inclination to telescope port is for instruments and fourth port is for nerve root retractor. Twelve degree inclination of the working portal with telescope portal helps in keeping tips of working instruments always under vision. The outer tube has ratchets to adjust the depth of operating insert within the outer tube. This adjustable telescopic locking system can increase the magnification as per need.

The procedure was performed under general anaesthesia. The position used was either prone position on a special table with hips and knees in about 100°-110° flexion or modified knee-chest position with trapezoidal chest support to open up the interlaminar space and the abdomen was kept completely free to reduce extradural venous congestion and bleeding. Under fluoroscopic control, using a special marker in the set, involved disc level and its sagittal inclination was marked (Figure 1a). After skin preparation and draping, 15-18 mm skin incision was made according to skin marking on the involved side just lateral to spinous process and aponeurosis was incised. With the help of sharp osteotome from the instrument set, the paravertebral muscles were elevated subperiosteally from the spinous process and lamina to expose the interlaminar window and medial facet. The endospine tube with trocar was docked over interlaminar window in the predetermined sagittal inclination of the disc space (Figure 1b). Trocar was removed, the field was cleared of protruding soft tissues and working insert was fitted in the endospine tube. Endoscope and suction were placed in respective portals (Figure 1c). Any remaining muscle tissue bulging in the tube was removed till the interlaminar window was clearly visible. A small laminotomy was performed by resecting the inferior margin of superior lamina in cephalad direction and then medial part of inferior facet laterally using 3 mm. angled 45° Kerrison punch, till the superior attachment of ligamentum flavum to its undersurface was detached (Figure 1d). It was followed by excision of ligamentum flavum in caudal direction, using 3 mm. 90° Kerrison punch, to expose the dural tube and traversing nerve root under the endoscopic vision. If herniation was paracentral, the nerve root was gently retracted medially either with the in-built root retractor or using pattis, while in cases where the herniation was in the axilla of the traversing root, patti was used to gently push the root laterally. In case of contained discs, annulotomy was done using the spatula or 11 no. blade and loose disc fragments were removed with disc forceps. The sequestered disc was gently removed by teasing it out with nerve hook and then grabbing it with disc forceps (Figure 1e). Once satisfactory nerve root decompression was confirmed by its free movement, haemostasis was achieved with bipolar cauterity and small gelfoam piece was put in the defect after removing the endospine tube, aponurosis was closed and skin was sutured (Figure 1f). A water impermeable dressing was applied.

Next day morning, the patient was allowed to get out of bed and walk to the washroom. Neurological examination and SLR were performed to rule out any deficit (Figure 2) and patients were discharged in 2 to 5 days depending upon pain. Patients were advised to follow up regularly at 3 weeks, 6 weeks and then at 3, 6 and 12 months postoperatively. All the patients were followed up for minimum of 12 months - the maximum follow-up is of 7 years.

2.5. Functional assessment

The severity of leg pain was evaluated using the 10 point Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score one day preoperatively...
and then at first postoperative day, 3 weeks, 6 weeks and 3, 6 and 12 months postoperatively. Back pain and patient’s daily living ability were assessed by Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) score.

In a retrospective assessment, at final one year follow-up, the clinical efficacy of the procedure was evaluated as excellent, good, fair and poor according to MacNab criteria.\(^\text{12}\) (Table 1)

The radiological assessment was done with anteroposterior and lateral flexion, extension x-rays of lumbosacral spine done six weeks postoperatively and at final 12 months follow-up to rule out iatrogenic lumbar instability. During the follow-up, all complications were recorded and managed appropriately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>No pain, no restriction of activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Occasional back pain or leg pain not interfering with the patient’s ability to do his normal work, or to enjoy leisure activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Improved functional capacity, but handicapped by intermittent pain of sufficient severity to curtail or modify work or leisure activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>No improvement or insufficient improvement to enable increase in activities; further operative intervention required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6. Statistical analysis

Data was analysed by statistical software, SPSS, Version 16 for repeated measures ANOVA test. The level of significance was set at p<0.05.

3. Results

There were seventy-two male (68.57%) and thirty-three female (31.42%) patients - male to female ratio was 2.2:1. The average age of patients was 38 years (range 18-50 years; Standard Deviation SD 8.7). SLR was positive in 100 cases (95.23%), femoral stretch test was positive in 5 cases (4.76%), ankle reflex was absent in 27 cases (25.71%), sensory deficit was observed in 58 cases (55.23%) and motor involvement was seen in 7 cases (6.66%). Motor involvement included patients with grade 3 power in EHL (n=5), grade 4 power at ankle (n=1) and grade 4 power at quadriceps (n=1). The distribution of disc involvement was- 63 at L4-L5 level (60%), 37 at L5-S1 level (35.27%), 4 at L3-L4 level (3.8%) and 1 at L2-L3 level (0.95%). Out of 105, there were 55 contained (52.38%), 46 extruded (43.80%) and 04 sequestrated (3.8%) intracanal disc herniations. Seventy six (72.38%) were left sided and twenty nine (27.61%) were right sided disc herniations.

The mean follow up was 3 years (range 1 to 7 years). The mean duration of operation was 60 min (range 40-90min). Right handed surgeons usually face technical difficulties in approaching right paracentral disc herniation in the
Fig. 2: (a,b): Sagittal and axial T2 Weighted MRI images showing left paracentral sequestrated disc herniation at L4-5 Level; (c): endoscopic view of disc fragment; (d): Disc material removed; (e-g): comfortable patient on second postoperative day.

Fig. 3: (a): Visual Analogue Scale Score, (b): Oswestry Disability Index Score, (c): MacNab Score.
beginning, thus leading to slightly increased operative time. The average blood loss was 50ml (range 30-150ml). The operative time was longer and the blood loss was at higher range during early stage of the study. However after gaining the experience, time taken for surgery was less than the mean duration and blood loss was also less.

Four patients (3.80%) had intraoperative dural tears, which were managed by watertight muscle fascia and skin closure. These patients were observed for dural leaks during postoperative period. There was no evidence of dural leak in any of them. All four dural tears occurred in axial disc herniation where there was tenting of dura and nerve root at the recess. Two patients (1.90%) had postoperative discitis. These patients had sudden onset severe back pain between first and second week of surgery after initial recovery. The pain was so severe that these patients were not able to turn in bed and sleep at night. Instead of declining trend, ESR and C-reactive protein showed rising trend in these patients and cell count was higher. Diagnosis of discitis was made on clinical ground only. These patients were managed by strict bed rest and broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics for three weeks, followed by oral antibiotics for further three weeks. All these patients responded well to antibiotics and no further intervention was required. Both these lesions healed by radiological fusion at the end of one year.

Recurrent disc herniation involving the same site and side occurred in 3.8% (n=4) cases. Two patients had recurrence of disc within 3 months of operation. The remaining two patients were manual labourer and one had recurrence of disc at 1 year 8 months and another had it at 2 years and 6 months after index operation. The recurrence in these patients was confirmed by contrast MRI and managed by the Destandau technique without any complications. Superficial wound infection occurred in one patient (0.9%). It was managed by dressing and antibiotics and it healed within a week. Eight patients (7.6%) had transient radicular pain and paraesthesia in the symptomatic leg, which improved over a period of three to six weeks. Table 2 shows the complications of Destandau technique observed during the study.

Table 2: Complications of Destandau technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Frequency (n)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dural tear</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discitis</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient neuralgia</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The VAS score for low back and leg pain dropped from 8.3 (range 7-10; SD 1.10) before operation to 5.0 (p<0.01) immediately after operation and to 0.8 (range 0-3; SD 0.86) (p<0.01) at one year (Figure 3 a). The repeated measures ANOVA indicated a significant effect i.e. Wilk’s Lambda=0.20, F(4,101)=1.250E3, p<0.01. Post hoc comparison among the groups showed that each pairwise difference was statistically significant, p<0.01. There was significant improvement in leg pain and back pain over a period of time. Preoperative mean ODI score was 69.65 (range 60-78; SD 5.5) which was significantly reduced to average of 4.51 (range 0-12; SD 4.0) (p<0.01) (Figure 3 b). The repeated measures ANOVA indicated a significant effect i.e. Wilk’s Lambda=0.006, F(4,101)=4.351E3, p<0.01. There was significant improvement in disability. Seven patients (6.66%) who had motor involvement at presentation included 5 patients with grade 3 power in EHL, 1 patient with grade 4 power in ankle and another with grade 4 power at quadriceps. Except the one with grade 4 power at ankle, all other patients regained their original power over a period of 9 to 12 months. According to MacNab criteria, 87 patients (82.85%) had excellent outcome, 06 patients (5.71%) had fair outcome and 12 patients (11.42%) had poor outcome (Figure 3 c). The percentage of poor result was zero. Eighty eight percent patients (n=93) joined sedentary activities after 3 weeks, while heavy manual labour and sporting activities were allowed after 6 weeks.

4. Discussion

The basic principle of discectomy for lumbar disc herniation, performed by various techniques, is to relieve herniation-induced nerve root compression. A proper technique should give satisfactory outcome, produce no or minimum morbidity and must be cost effective. Mixter and Barr,13 in 1934, first reported classic laminectomy and discectomy as an operative technique in the management of prolapsed intervertebral disc. In retrospective analysis of long term outcomes of standard discectomy for lumbar disc herniation, Yerimitsu et al14 found that 76.5% of the patients had some residual back pain, which was severe in 12.7% of the cases.

Introduction of microscopic disc surgery by Casper15 and Yesargil16 added refinements in approach enabling minimum anatomic damage. Microscope assisted discectomies reported good results between 80-100%.16,17 A prospective randomised controlled study (RCT) comparing full endoscopic (interlaminar as well as transforaminal) lumbar discectomy versus conventional microsurgical technique by Ruetten et al17 reported equal clinical results of full endoscopic technique and microsurgical technique. The study also reported significantly reduced postoperative pain and work disability in full endoscopic group and increased rate of non-serious complications in microscopic group. Sir Jean Destandau from France, in early 1993, developed a posterior interlaminar endoscopic discectomy technique, with unique instruments, for surgical management of lumbar disc herniation.9–11
We compared our results with studies evaluating Destandau technique of lumbar discectomy. According to MacNab criteria we had 82.85% excellent, 5.71% good and 11.42% fair outcome. Kaushal et al (n=300) had 90% excellent to good results, 08% fair and 02% poor results. Dey et al (n=614) presented excellent results in 78.01% patients good results in 17.9% patients. Our results are comparable with both these studies utilising the same modified MacNab criteria. Our results are also comparable with the results presented by Destandau et al and Patond et al who showed 90.5% and 80.95% excellent results respectively. But both these studies graded their results based on Prolo’s criteria. The VAS score for leg pain in present study was significantly improved from preoperative average of 8.3 to 0.8 at final 1 year follow-up. Dey et al reported similar improvement in VAS score from 7.8 to 2 in a year. In the present study, ODI score was reduced significantly from 69.65 to 4.51. Dey et al reported improvement in ODI score from 64 to 14.

Complications reported in different series are dural tear, discitis, transient paresis or neuralgia, recurrence, root injury and wound infection. The complication rate in our series was 18%. Out of 105 patients, 3.8% patients (n=4) had dural tear, 3.8% patients (n=4) had recurrence of herniation, 7.61% patients (n=8) had transient neuralgia, 1.9% patients (n=2) had discitis and 0.9% patients (n=1) had superficial wound infection. In a series of 199 patients, Destandau et al reported 0.69% incidence of dural tear. Incidence of dural tear reported by Kaushal et al, Patond et al was 5%, 0.6% and 4.76% respectively. Recurrence rate in present study was higher than the recurrence rate found in the studies conducted by Destandau et al (ie 2.78%) and Day et al (ie 0.3%), but was lower than that found in Kaushal et al study (ie 5.0%). Incidence of discitis was noted in every series. In the present study, it was 1.90%, while Destandau et al, Kaushal et al and Patond et al found 0.5%, 5% and 4.5% incidence respectively. Prolonged operative time and technical difficulties in initial cases were the main reasons of these complications in our study.

The patient’s ability to return to work is one of the most important parameters of success of any operative procedure. In present study 88 % patients were able to resume sedentary work between 2-3 weeks of surgery with restriction to avoid heavy manual work for minimum 6 weeks. Following microdiscectomy, Bookwalter et al reported that 40% of their patients returned to work within 5 weeks, while Caspar et al reported mean return to work time of 18.6 weeks.

The procedures like endoscopic discectomy, microdiscectomy and classic open discectomy have the same overall outcomes, but endoscopic discectomy has advantages of small incision, well-illuminated magnified view, less blood loss, less postoperative pain and analgesics, early ambulation, early return to work and thus less overall cost of treatment. Destandau endoscopic discectomy technique with all these advantages mentioned above, in addition to simple instrumentation, is obviously the procedure of choice. Of course there is a steep learning curve, but proper training and cadaveric workshops under expert guidance is the key to success.

5. Conclusion

Destandau endoscopic lumbar discectomy provides good illumination, excellent visualisation and magnification for the surgeon through a smaller incision, all of which help to minimise procedure related morbidity and prevent serious complications. Short operative time and hospital stay, decreased need of analgesics and early return to work make the procedure cost effective. The only downside is the steep learning curve to improve triangulation, depth perception and hand eye coordination.
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