Original Research Article

Comparative study between close reduction internal fixation and open reduction internal fixation in lower fibula with medial malleolus fracture

Keyur Shah¹, Rushik Gandhi^{1,*}, Sanyam Panchani¹, Parth Patel¹,
Ranjan Bhajji Patariya¹

¹Dept. of Orthopaedics, B.J. Medical College and Civil hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19-08-2020

Accepted 25-09-2020

Available online 30-12-2020

Keywords:

There was no significant difference in syndesmotic diastasis during cyclic loading or at maximal external rotation between the rod and plate groups. Post-cycle external rotation stiffness across the syndesmosis was significantly higher for the locking pla

ABSTRACT

Background: Ankle fracture is important in sense that ankle is distal most weight bearing joint and locomotion depends upon stability of ankle mortise. The best option for management of distal fibula fracture remains unclear due to availability of various implant and different types of fractures.

Aim: This study was conducted to know the indication for the two modalities of surgical fixation as this comparative study between close reduction internal fixation and open reduction internal fixation in lower fibula (at syndesmotic level: Weber type B) with lower tibia or medial malleolar fracture. Aim of the study was to perform randomised controlled trial to compare complication and functional modalities in fixation of fibula

Materials and Methods: This was a randomised prospective and comparative study for the methods used for management of the lower fibula fracture (Weber type B) done In dept. of orthopaedics, civil hospital, Ahmedabad within time of 1st may 2019 to 1st may 2020 in total of 62 patient in which 39 patient were operated by CRIF and 23 patient operated by ORIF

Results: There was significant difference in rate of union as plating was found superior to nailing there were less complication in nailing as compare to plating and better functional score found with nailing.

Conclusion: Nailing in lower fibula fracture is a better technique with comminuted ankle fractures that provides better functional outcome with fewer complication than plating. By the syndesmotic point of view there is no such difference between crif and orif method for post weight bearing syndesmotic failure. there seems higher chances of ankle stiffness particularly at syndesmotic level seen after orif method.

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

The ankle is a hinged joint and having two articulation points, 1) between distal parts of tibia and fibula and 2) between tibia and talus. The ankle joint is most important joint for weight bearing purpose for which supportive movement occur between subtalar joint (between talus and calcaneum) and at ankle joint. Mostly weight is transferred by tibio talar surface and only 1/6 part of weight is transferred by fibula side. Thus mortise view is very important in case of any fracture management of ankle joint as it shows talus dome so greatly that weight bearing results can be assessed properly.

Movement in ankle joint occurs mainly at sagittal axis and also in longitudinal and empirical axis.¹

Centre of ankle joint is 3-4 mm lateral to centre of inter malleolar axis which is significant in case of extra medullary guidance for tibia piece in TKR from which mechanical axis of lower limb passes.² Any fracture line if altering distal part of tibia forming ankle joint is called pilon fracture. And for this kind of fractures mortise view is most important to see talar dome which is main weight bearing part of ankle joint ligaments, capsule and other muscular structures around ankle joint gives stability to ankle joint and thus type of injury is very important in history as rotational injury will give soft tissue damage and ankle sprain. With this rotational injury if axial force will added then it would cause fracture

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: rushik.gandhi93@gmail.com (R. Gandhi).

at ankle joint.³ With rotational force > axial force, there are more chances to cause malleolar fracture and with axial force > rotational force, there are more chances for pilon fractures. Ankle injury commonly seen frequently in road traffic accident and fall down from height. Ankle fractures are very common skeletal fractures it is important because weight is transmitted through it and locomotion depends on joint stability although conservatively managed before, internal fixation as become standard treatment modality for these fracture. The fixation as done with fibula plate with screw with higher chances of complication were seen and with higher chances of union. We compared the fixation of fibula by using fibula nail and fibula plate. Ankle injury are usually mixed injury, ligamentous and bony and each end result of ligamentous and bony failure due to deforming forces.⁴

The universally accepted classification system is Danis-weber classification. it defines the fracture pattern. Management of mainly depend on an assessment of joint stability, which includes amount of displacement, associated other nearby fractures and talar shift

1.1. Danis weber classification

Weber A — Fracture below level of ankle joint, tibiofibular syndesmosis intact, deltoid ligament intact, medial malleolus occasionally fractured.⁵

Weber B — Fracture at the level of ankle joint, tibiofibular syndesmosis intact or partly to torn, deltoid may be torn, medial malleolus fracture.⁵

Weber C — Fracture above the level of ankle joint, tibiofibular syndesmosis disrupted, deltoid ligament torn, medial malleolus fracture.⁵

The goal of treatment to achieve union of fracture, movement and function of the joint which regain as normal without pain. In these type of majority seen with talar shift in which it ends with decrease movement and function of the joint. This obviously need for anatomical reduction, which could be better achieved by open reduction and internal fixation. The aim of the study to evaluate the clinical and functional results of patients with distal fibula fracture (Weber type B) treated with plating or nailing.⁵

1.2. Source and data

62 patients with distal fibula fracture of ankle treated at civil hospital, Ahmedabad between period of 1 year from 1st may 2019 to 1st may 2020

2. Materials and Methods

Our project was prospective, randomised, single-blinded study on the patient and 62 patient were taken for this study in which 39 patients are were fixed by close reduction and other 23 were fixed by open reduction with in period of 1 year from 1st may 2019 to 1st may

2020 in dept. of orthopaedics, Civil Hospital Ahmedabad. Radiological investigations for this type of fracture are antero-posterior and lateral view of ankle joint. Operation generally done before maximum swelling occurs or after the initial swelling was resolved. All patients received prophylactic antibiotics before surgery, as it was carried out as early as possible subsequent swelling and skin problem. The patient was placed in the supine position with a pillow under the homolateral buttocks with a pneumatic tourniquet at 300 mmHg pressure on the limb maximum for 90 minutes to reduce blood loss and better tissue visualization during surgeries.⁶

2.1. Preoperative evaluation included

Gender, age, cause of trauma, side of fracture, type of fracture, any medical history, any addictive habits, food habits, past drug history, past operative history, allergies etc.

2.2. Inclusion criteria

Close fracture with or without associated with tibia fracture, age > 21 years, patient willing for treatment and who gave written consent.

2.3. Exclusion criteria

Open fracture, medically unfit patient, fracture line > 8 cm, age < 21 years, tibial pilon fracture.

3. Fixation of the Fibula Fracture

After positioning of patient, local part of patient was well painted with betadine followed by spirit then proper drapping was done before we made incision. Primary knife was used to give incision over skin and secondary knife to dissect soft tissue to reduce chances of infection

3.1. Plating

Fibula bone is usually very easy to approach. I usually prefer postero lateral approach and like to place plate at postero lateral surface of fibula insted of lateral surge to avoid impigment. Two types of plates are we used: 1) 1/3 semi tubular 2) anatomical fibular plate.⁴ In case of semitubular plate pre bending to give vlgus bend to the plate is necessary.⁷

3.1.1. Approach

Landmark for skin incision: proximal — 10 cm proximal from tip of lateral malleoli, distal- tip of lateral malleoli. Note that skin incision should be slight posterior as we are planning for postero lateral plate placement.^{8,9}

After superficial incision of skin we do soft tissue dissection, dissect superficial fascia and cauterize bleeding points, than deep fascia is dissected. Two flaps anterior and posterior are retracted by self retaining retractor. Then

with the help of periosteum elevator we clear fracture site. Remove hematoma and any debris present at fracture site. Then proper wash is taken slowly as we can see fracture line clearly and easy to reduce fracture. After proper reduction, clamp the fracture site to hold reduction and plate placement done. Plate is fixed with 3 cortical screw proximally and 2 or 3 cortical screw distally. Then proper stability of fracture is checked by all movement of ankle joint after removing clamp. Then proper wash of normal saline or ringer lactate is given. Closure done in layers: 1) fascia by 1-0 vicryl 2) subcutaneous tissue by 1-0 vicryl and 3) skin by 1-0 epimide. Proper sterile dressing is placed.

Type Weber B fracture has a typical posterolateral displacement usually with slight shortening. Placing a plate in particular fashion automatically reduces the distal fragment by screwing the plate to proximal fragment.

3.2. Nailing

In a transverse fracture, a fibula nail was used. Expose the tip of the lateral malleolus by splitting the fibres of the calcaneofibular ligament longitudinally. Insert the nail across the fracture line into the medullary canal after making an entry at tip of lateral malleolus with a bone awl.^{1,10}

Intra medullary nail fixation of fibula is minimally invasive with an incision of 1 cm in length. Chances of wound infection are less as compared to plating. Chances of fracture healing are high as compared to plating.¹¹

Fibular nailing is also useful in type B Weber fracture in elderly patients with osteoporotic bones as plating is difficult in osteoporotic bones.

3.2.1. Follow-up

Postoperative immobilisation of ankle joint was done by below-knee slab for 15 days. Suture removal done after 15 days of surgery followed by below knee cast with ankle in 90° position was given for 4 weeks and after 4 weeks later cast removed and clinical examination was done to examine tenderness and movement of ankle. Physiotherapy was explained to patient in that active movement of ankle without weight bearing. After 6 weeks x-ray were taken to check sign of fracture union and then partial weight bearing was started for period of 4-6 weeks with crepe bandage and limb elevation and active mobilisation of ankle joint explained to patient. Patient were then allowed to bear full weight without support after 12 weeks. Regular follow-up was done at 15 days, 1 month and 3 months after discharge.

3.3. Case 1

40/m closed # bimalleolus RT side without DNVD came to Civil Hospital on 17th September 2019 and operated on 18th September 2019 and follows.

Fig. 1: Pre-op

Fig. 2: 1 month follow up

Fig. 3: 3 month follow up

3.4. Case 2

47/M closed # bimalleolus Lt side without DNVD came to Civil Hospital, Ahmedabad on 23th August 2019 and operated on 24th August 2020 and follows.

Fig. 4: Pre-op

Fig. 5: 1 month followup

Fig. 6: 3 months followup

Table 1:

Complications	Nailing	Platting
Wound infection	4	12
Wound dehiscence	0	9
Screw breakage	Nil	6
Delayed union	0	7
Non union	0	6
Ankle stiffness	13	2
Implant irritation	12	5
Implant backout	2	6

Table 2:

Deformity	Nailing	Plating
Malalignment	12	03
Varus deformity	05	01
Valgus deformity	07	02

Table 3:

Age group	Nailing	Plating
21-30	9	7
31-40	10	3
41-50	7	5
51-60	3	4
61-70	4	2
>70	6	2

4. Conclusion

This prospective, randomised study to compare the result of intramedullary nailing with plating in distal fibula fracture (Weber type B). Anatomical reduction is always important in all intra articular fractures, more so if a weight bearing joint like ankle joint is involved. The fibular length has to be maintained for lateral stability of the ankle.^{12,13} The type and extent of injury in ankle fractures is important for good reduction and fixation with good functional outcomes. The aim of surgery should be to achieve anatomical reduction of the fracture fragments, ankle mortise congruity, restoration of the length of the fibula and restoration of syndesmotic integrity.^{2,9} During surgery, the soft tissues dissection should be kept minimal to avoid further vascular complications. In the post-operative period, splintage of the ankle should be done and precautions are taken to prevent swelling of the ankle joint as swelling may lead to delayed wound healing.⁶ Patients are ambulated with crutches or walker without bearing weight on the injured limb from the first post-operative day if there are no associated injuries and can be discharged from the hospital by the first week.⁷

The four to six week period of immobilization did not affect the final range of ankle function as most patients had achieved full range of motion by the end of 12 weeks postoperatively with active exercise regimen.¹⁴

We concluded that fibula plating is a better method of fixation in weber type B and C fractures while intramedullary nailing in fibula is a better method of fixation in weber type A fractures with respect to clinical and functional outcomes.

We also concluded that if the ligament injury has been dealt with properly and repaired and the fixation is anatomically sound, then the period of immobilization (4 to 6 weeks) does not affect the range of motion of ankle joint in the long duration.¹⁴

5. Source of Funding

None.

6. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Pott P. Some few general remarks on fractures and dislocations. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2007;(458):40–1.
2. Geissler WB, Tsao AK, Hughes JL. Fractures and injuries of the ankle. In: Rockwood and Green's fractures in adults. 4th ed. United States: Lippincott Raven;.
3. Inman V. The Joints of the Ankle. United States: Williams and Wilkins.
4. Olerud C, Molander H. Bi and trimalleolar ankle fractures operated on with non-rigid internal fixation. *Eur PMC*. 1986;(206):253–60.
5. Ponzer S, Näsell H, Bergman B, Törnkvist H. Functional outcome and quality of life patients with Type B ankle fractures. *J Orthop Trauma*. 1999;13(5):363–8.
6. Hansen NL. Fractures of the ankle II: combined experimental-surgical and experimental-roentgenologic investigations. *Arch Surg*. 1950;60(5):957–85.
7. Gumann G. Ankle fractures in foot and ankle trauma.
8. Danis R. Theorieetpratique de l'osteosynthese. 1949;.
9. Weber JB. Die verletzungen des oberen sprunggelenkes; 1972.
10. Bhavik YD, Krunal JC, Parimal JP, Ravi R. Bhesaniya A study of Bimalleolar fractures treated with open & closed method by TBW, kwire, CC screw & semitubular plate .
11. Desouza LJ. Fractures and dislocations about the ankle.
12. Barnett CH, Napier JR. The axis of rotation at the ankle joint in man; its influence upon the form of the talus and the mobility of the fibula. *J Anat*. 1952;86(1):1–9.
13. Burwell HN, Charnley AD. The treatment of displaced fractures of ankle by rigid internal fixation and early joint movement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1965;47(4):634–60.
14. Olerud C, Molander H. A scoring scale for symptom evaluation after ankle fracture. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 1984;103(3):190–4.

Author biography

Keyur Shah, Associate Professor

Rushik Gandhi, Resident

Sanyam Panchani, Resident

Parth Patel, Resident

Ranjan Bhaiji Patariya, Senior Resident

Cite this article: Shah K, Gandhi R, Panchani S, Patel P, Patariya RB. Comparative study between close reduction internal fixation and open reduction internal fixation in lower fibula with medial malleolus fracture. *Indian J Orthop Surg* 2020;6(4):298-302.