Role of distraction compression osteogenesis by ilizarov ring fixator in complex non-union of long bones
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Abstract
Purpose: To review the outcome of 16 patients who underwent compression distraction osteogenesis for long bone nonunion by ilizarov ring fixator.

Methods: A total of 16 patients were reviewed (13 male, 3 female) of average age 46 years (17-60). All patients underwent compression distraction osteogenesis for union & bone lengthening by ilizarov ring fixator. Patients were assessed by ASAMI criteria for functional and bone outcome.

Results: Patients were followed up for a mean period of 10 months. Functional outcome was assessed Excellent=7, Good=7, Fair=2 & Bone union outcome was Excellent=5, Good=10, Fair=1.

Pin tract infection was most common problem encountered other were wire breakage, pressure necrosis, knee contracture and refracture all were managed accordingly.
No major neurovascular complication was encountered.

Conclusion: We concluded that ilizarov ring fixator is a viable option for Non-union of long bone.
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Introduction
GavrilIlizarov introduced this technique in village of kurgon in Russia in 1951 for treatment of fractures primarily. Ilizarov discoursed techniques like physeal distraction, corticotomy lengthening, bone transport. Common basis of all these methods is known as “Theory of Tension Stress”.

Through controlled and mechanically applied tension stress he was able to show that bone & soft tissue can be made to regenerate in reliable and reproducible manner. This method can be used in (1) Limb Lengthening (2) Treatment of Non-Unions (3) Correction of Bone Deformities (4) Arthrodesis.

The multiplaner circular construction and tensioned wire provide for more structural support then traditional uniplaner external none fixator systems. This allows early weight bearing.

Non-Union of long bone is a challenging situation in Orthopedics. Bone grafting is used to fill defects cancellous bone for small defects, vascularised fibula and allograft for large defect upto 4cm.

In cases of complex non-unions that is infection, bone exposed, bone loss greater than 4cm, deformity, failure of internal fixation. The choice is either limb salvage by using Ilizarov fixator or amputation. Union in cases of long bones with large defect greater then 4cm needs bone transport and new bone formation by distraction osteogenesis using Ilizarov ring fixator.

Ilizarov has been successfully used in managing most of these problems. This study is to evaluate the hypothesis that Ilizarov fixation can be efficaciously used in all cases of complex non-union.

Material and Methods
This is a prospective study carried out at our centre having a total of 16 patients with different mode of injuries as primary cause of fracture like road traffic accidents, fall from height, fire arm injury. Ilizarov with principle of compression distraction osteogenesis for union and bone lengthening was used in each patient. Every patient was described thoroughly about the complete process and proper consent was taken.

Pre-operative and post-operative X-ray were done in each cases to check correct positioning of wire and corticotomy. Metaphyseal corticotomy was used in every case in one case bifocal corticotomy was done. A proper meticulous debridement of dead sclerotic bone till fresh punctate bleeding was visible, fibrous tissue, dead necrotic bone and tissue was done when ever required in a case where gap was less acute docking was done.

In cases of infected non-union intravenous antibiotics were used initially empirical then according to culture sensitivity for at least 3 weeks or till CRP reactive protein and ESR showed improvement and then oral antibiotics. Proper dressing for wounds were done till healing on regular basis and proper pin tract care explained to patients to be done by themselves at home and a regular follow up at a interval of 3 weeks was done till maturity of bone and union. Average period of follow up was 43 weeks with a minimum of 24 weeks. Every patient was explained and educated thoroughly for how to carry on distraction at a optimum...
rate of 1mm/day in four quarters at home. Final results were assessed after removal of frame and proper physiotherapy by ASAMI (Association for the Study and Application of Methods of Ilizarov) for bone and functional results as used in several earlier studies.[13-15]

Table 1: Association for the Study and Application of Methods of Ilizarov scoring system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Active, no limp, minimum stiffness [Loss&lt;15° knee extension/15° dorsiflexion ankle], no reflex sympathetic dystrophy [RSD], insignificant pain.</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Active with one or two of following: limp, stiffness, RSD, pain.</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Active with three or all of following: limp, stiffness, RSD, pain.</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Inactive [unemployment or inability to return to daily activities due to injury].</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Amputation.</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

A total of 16 patients were there of which 13 male (81%) and 3 female (19%) of mean age 46 year most common bone involved was Tibia (68%), Femur (32%). There were failed previous surgical attempts in every case except one. There was average delay of 38 weeks between initial trauma and presentation to us. Maximum cases of road traffic accident (63%), Fall from height (30%) and one case fire of injury (6%). Average period for which distraction was carried out was 55 days (8 weeks) and average new bone of 5.1cm was achieved.

According to ASAMI Criteria functional results we had were 10 excellent (62%), 4 good (25%), 2 fair (13%) and bone results were 11 excellent (68.5%) 4 good (25%), 1 fair (6.5%).
Distraction achieved was plotted against distraction period on dot graph average distraction period was 54 days and average new bone formation was 5.1 cm.
Discussion

In cases of complex non-union ilizarov offers a specialized modality of treatment which is effective in treating properly and most important thing is that patient can be mobilized in immediate post-operative period.

There are other modalities also available today’s world like HEXAPOD, OCTAPOD which are computer guided patient specific spatial frames and required more skill and are expensive as per Indian patients are concerned who maximally belong to low socioeconomic groups so ilizarov fixator is quite better and good option till now.

Our study results were comparable to study carried out by Paley et.al.\textsuperscript{16} In which functional results were excellent (64%), good (28%) and fair (4%) and bone results excellent in (72%), good (20%) and fair (8%).

In another study by Kumar (2013) et.al.\textsuperscript{17} Functional results were excellent (40%), good (50%) and fair (10%) and bone results excellent in (79%), good (11%) and fair (10%).

In another study by Khan (2015) et.al.\textsuperscript{18} functional results were excellent (71%), good (17.7%) and fair (4.4%) and bone results excellent in (64%), good (20%) and fair (4.4%).

Pin tract infection (31.3%) was most common problem which we faced and it was adequately treated in each case. 2 cases had problem of angulations and
pressure necrosis. Contracture, Wire Breakage, Refracture occurred in one case each and were managed accordingly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problems</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor regenerate</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angulation</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pin tract infection</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure necrosis</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstacles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection needing change of frame or wire.</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire breakage</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True complication</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint stiffness / contracture</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb length discrepancy</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent infection</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological deficits</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refracture</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conclusion

Ilizarov ring fixator can be used in cases of complex non-union efficaciously with high chances of getting excellent to good results for filling bone defect by bone transport and new inter-membranous bone formation and union at the fracture site.
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